BEFORE THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Single Bench

M.C.C.NO. 92 /2004

(WRIT PETITION NO.3922/2003)

PAPER UNDER DISPOSAL

PETITIONER

INDRAJEET MISHRA, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, SON OF SHRI KEDARNATH MISHRA, RETIRED REVENUE INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF THE ABADI SURVEY, COLLECTORATE, SURGUJA, AMBIKAPUR, DISTT. SURGUJA (C.G.)

P. R. No. 180/04
Presented by Shri P. Tiwari
dated 3-4-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH : THROUGH : SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, DAU KALYAN SINGH BHAWAN, RAIPUR (C.G.)

2. THE COLLECTOR, DISTT. SURGUJA (C.G.)

3. THE DISTRICT SETTLEMENT OFFICER, EAST ZONE, SURGUJA (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
3.8.04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD TO MAKE REPRESENTATION BEFORE THE AUTHORITIES

The Petitioner, beg to submits as under:-

1. That, the Petitioner has filed a writ petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India against the Respondent Authorities.

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(11)

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C. No. 92/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	18.11.2004 Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the applicant. Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. With consent of both the parties the matter is heard finally. Shri Bhakta, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in W.P. No. 3822/2003 preferred by the petitioner vide order dated 21.4.2004 this Court had directed the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the Collector/ respondent No. 2 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month from today, and on such representation being filed, the Collector/respondent No. 2 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. He further submits the petitioner could not make a representation before the Collector/respondent No. 2 due to the petitioner is suffering with bronchitis and hypertension. Counsel for the State has no objection in allowing this application. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, the application is allowed. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation raising his grievances before the Collector/respondent No. 2 along with a copy of the petition and	

XI-HC—78

(12)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C. No. 92/2004 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the Collector/respondent No. 2 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

M.C.C. stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice

Vatti

20.11